Advanced magnetic resonance spectroscopic neuroimaging: Experts' consensus recommendations
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Magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) offers considerable promise for monitoring metabolic alterations associated with disease or injury; however, to date, these methods have not had a significant impact on clinical care, and their use remains largely confined to the research community and a limited number of clinical sites. The MRSI methods currently implemented on clinical MRI instruments have remained essentially unchanged for two decades, with only incremental improvements in sequence implementation. During this time, a number of technological developments have taken place that have already greatly benefited the quality of MRSI measurements within the research community and which promise to bring advanced MRSI studies to the point where the technique becomes a true imaging modality, while making the traditional review of individual spectra a secondary requirement. Furthermore, the increasing use of biomedical MR spectroscopy studies has indicated clinical areas where advanced MRSI methods can provide valuable information for clinical care. In light of this rapidly changing technological environment and growing understanding of the value of MRSI studies for biomedical studies, this article presents a consensus from a group of experts in the field that reviews the state-of-the-art for clinical proton MRSI studies of the human brain, recommends minimal standards for further development of vendor-provided MRSI implementations, and identifies areas which need further technical development.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The combination of magnetic resonance spectroscopy with spatial encoding methods enables spectral information to be mapped in a noninvasive manner. Proton MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) has been of particular value for in vivo measurements in the brain, for both clinical and biomedical research studies.\(^1\)\(^2\) Although proposed over 30 years ago\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\) and widely implemented on commercial MRI systems, the clinical adoption of MRSI remains limited for reasons that include long acquisition times, low spatial resolutions, variable quality, inadequate analysis software and a lack of appreciation in the clinical community of the potential impact on clinical care. However, recent technological developments promise to transform MRSI into a more reliable and higher throughput modality through the increased availability of higher magnetic fields (≥3 T), multi-channel detector systems, new encoding methods and new approaches for obtaining metabolite maps over large brain volumes. These developments promise to bring the quality of MRSI to the point where the technique becomes a true imaging modality and the more traditional analysis of individual spectra becomes a secondary requirement. Complementary to these technological developments has been increased experience within the research community of the potential value of MRSI-detected metabolic biomarkers for clinical studies. With this background of an evolving technology and increased understanding of the potential of MRSI, this report aims to: (1) summarize the state-of-the-art acquisition and analysis methods and review the status of clinical applications; (2) make specific recommendations for minimum implementation standards that apply to all MRSI studies; (3) recommend particular areas where further development is needed to bring advanced MRSI methods into the clinical setting; and (4) propose future directions where advanced MRSI methods should be implemented as part of research and clinical imaging protocols.

Both MRI and MRS technologies have numerous options in the choice of acquisition methods and parameters, which can result in multiple tradeoffs between information content and implementation considerations. Together with an ongoing and steady rate of development, these characteristics present challenges to the development of a set of implementation recommendations. Instead, this report aims to identify broad categories of clinical applications and emerging translational research areas for which different spatial or spectral sampling capabilities are recommended. For example, some applications can make effective use of relatively simple spectroscopic acquisitions to generate a map of the choline to N-acetylaspartate ratio, suggesting that acquisition design considerations can focus on optimizing spatial resolution and acquisition times. By contrast, studies that aim to measure neurotransmitters or tumor-specific molecular markers require optimum spectral discrimination and sensitivity, while spatial sampling becomes a secondary consideration. Therefore, an additional aim of this report is to summarize these application-specific considerations in the choice of MRSI methods selection.

Limitations of this report include that it considers methods that have already been demonstrated for in vivo human studies and for which there is reasonable expectation that these can be translated into clinical practice. Technologies such as hyperpolarized MRS and multinuclear detection are not considered. Considerations for \(^1\)H MRSI studies at ultrahigh field (>7 T) are discussed; however, this also remains an area of active development.

2 | CLINICAL UTILITY OF MRSI OF THE BRAIN

2.1 | Clinical applications

Despite the clear diagnostic potential of \(^1\)H MRS and decades of effort demonstrating that it provides complementary information relative to MRI, it largely remains an investigative tool that is not recommended for reimbursement in several countries. As an “imaging” method, MRSI
offers greater promise for routine clinical use than single voxel spectroscopy (SVS), although at the expense of increased measurement complexity and typically longer acquisition times. While a direct comparison of the clinical value and reliability of MRSI relative to SVS may not be possible, it is known that the limited spatial sampling of SVS methods can impact diagnostic value. The typically smaller voxel volumes of MRSI have also been shown to enable sampling of brain regions where SVS results were of poor quality, and while motion artifacts may be visible in MRSI, these can equally affect SVS measurements but without being apparent in the result.

The spectral sampling in most MRSI studies is comparable with that used for SVS, so in principle MRSI can provide the same spectroscopic information as SVS, although acquisitions are typically designed to sample smaller voxel volumes, which reduces detection sensitivity (signal-to-noise ratio [SNR] per unit time). As a result, most clinical studies using MRSI have focused on detection of prominent spectral components, namely, N-acetylaspartate (NAA), creatine (Cr) and choline (Cho) compounds, followed by a smaller number of studies that also include lactate (Lac), myo-inositol (mI) and the combined signal from glutamate and glutamine (Glx). Lower spatial resolution MRSI studies have also been demonstrated for detection of compounds that can be challenging even for SVS measurements, such as γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glutathione (GSH).

An obvious benefit of MRSI over SVS for clinical applications is that spectra are obtained from multiple regions in a single study, which particularly benefits studies of heterogeneous pathologies. The following sections review clinical applications that particularly benefit from the multi-voxel sampling provided by MRSI.

2.1.1 Brain tumors

Many brain tumors exhibit considerable heterogeneity and may have multi-focal lesions, making MRSI useful for identifying regions of infiltrative tumor and guiding biopsy localization. There is long-standing interest in the use of MRSI for treatment planning, for which the widely reported metabolic changes that occur outside of MRI-observed abnormalities may have a significant impact on outcomes. Additional applications include assessment of treatment response, identifying recurrent tumor and stratifying patients into subgroups for outcome assessments. These applications benefit from having metabolic information over a large region and volumetric measurements are particularly beneficial. Most studies are based upon the observation of increased Cho and decreased NAA in tumor tissue with improved contrast between normal and tumor tissue at longer TE. Meta-analyses provide evidence that Cho/NAA is effective for distinguishing high and low grade glioma and for separating regions with high grade pathology from areas of necrosis and less malignant tumor, although large variations in these findings have also been reported.

There is also increasing interest in making use of the entire metabolic profile, including changes of lipid, glutamate, alanine, GSH and D-2-hydroxyglutarate (2HG), which is a marker of oncogenic IDH mutation status. Since oncogenic IDH mutations are only found within the tumor there is no background 2HG signal, presenting considerable potential for mapping tumor distributions to guide and monitor treatment. Implementation of 2HG measurement for volumetric acquisitions has also been demonstrated.
Presurgical evaluation of epilepsy remains challenging, typically requiring co-localizing information from multiple diagnostic tests, including structural and diffusion MRI, PET, EEG and MEG. MRSI has been shown to provide complementary information via detection of altered tissue metabolism in the local neighborhood of a seizure focus, primarily from the reduction of NAA. Long echo time studies have indicated reduced NAA compared with healthy controls, as well as abnormal metabolism in the limbic and subcortical regions. Detection of neocortical epilepsy particularly benefits from the availability of whole-volume mapping due to the cortical location and limitations of prior localizing information to direct placement of a smaller imaging volume. This application may also particularly benefit from increased spatial resolution provided at higher magnetic fields. A study at 7 T showed that a positive outcome was associated with the extent of resection of the region of abnormal NAA/Cr. Other metabolites of interest are GABA and glutamate, although diagnostic value for MRSI remains to be shown.

2.1.3 | Traumatic brain injury

Traumatic brain injury and chronic traumatic encephalopathy are characterized by widespread metabolic alterations that can occur remote from regions indicated by structural MRI-observed lesions or altered DTI measures. Therefore, given the limitations of other localizing information, wide FOV MRSI measurements are best suited for studies of TBI. These metabolic alterations vary with severity and time after injury, with dominant findings being decreased NAA and increased Cho in the subacute phase that may persist for many years. Several studies have demonstrated an association of MRS biomarkers with cognitive assessments, although this association is not strong within the mild injury group, for which 15% to 30% of subjects will experience longer term postconcussion symptoms. Longer TE measurements, with sampling of NAA and Cho, appear to be suitable for studies of TBI; however, further studies are needed to determine if other MRS biomarkers are of value and whether there are associations of the spatial distributions of altered metabolites with cognitive outcomes.

2.1.4 | Multiple sclerosis

Multiple sclerosis is associated with demyelination, remyelination, gliosis and axonal loss over multiple brain regions. While focal lesions are observed on structural MRI, it has been shown that there are also widespread metabolic alterations in normal-appearing brain tissue that are
relevant for assessing disease progression. More specifically decreased NAA and increased Cho, ml and Glu have been found in normal-appearing white matter (NAWM) and, inside the acute lesions, reduced NAA, GABA and Glu and elevated ml have also been detected in gray matter. MRSI is thus able to localize changes in both lesions and normal-appearing brain tissue and is valuable for serial evaluation of disease progression and response to therapy. With the more widespread availability of ultrahigh field scanners, it is also possible to evaluate changes of GABA and GSH.

2.1.5 | Mitochondrial disorders

Mitochondrial disorders are a clinically, morphologically and biochemically diverse group of energy metabolism disorders with a variety of causes, including genetic, physiological and environmental. Diagnosis is complicated by varied etiopathophysiology, novel mitochondrial DNA mutations, and heterogeneity of the genotypes and phenotypes. Existing screening tests are effective for only a limited number of variants, are invasive, and/or may not provide the required information. Because metabolic changes associated with dysfunctional mitochondria are generally distinctive and brain tissue- or region-specific, MRSI measurements can aid in diagnosis and provide indices of disease progression and therapeutic response. Particularly sensitive markers of mitochondrial dysfunction that are of clinical utility are changes in NAA and parenchymal and/or CSF lactate, which is upregulated in mitochondrial dysfunction.

2.1.6 | Other potential applications

MRS has been widely used for studies of psychiatric disorders, pain disorders and neurodegenerative diseases; however, definitive clinical applications outside of the research arena, and the value of spatial information on metabolite distributions, remain elusive. Continued interest remains in the extension to ultrahigh field (7 T) studies for measurements of glutamate, glutamine, GABA and GSH, for example for response assessment and to provide objective criteria for studies of psychopathological and therapeutic mechanisms. Another topic of interest is the search for biomarkers that discriminate disease subtypes with different disease progression or therapy response.

Brain infection is a rare application where 1H MRSI has already proven clinical impact. An additional succinate peak reflects bacterial metabolism and is paralleled by NAA decrease and lactate increase.

2.2 | Status of clinical acceptance

MRSI sequences provided by MRI systems manufacturers are largely based on older technologies and as a result many research groups have developed their own methods. These implementations have not been widely distributed within the clinical MR community and this has led to a lack of standardization and poor integration into the clinical workflow. Additional barriers to more widespread clinical adoption include a requirement for specialized expertise for quality control and interpretation, and difficulties associated with obtaining reimbursement.

Several technical limitations must be addressed by the equipment manufacturers before advanced MRSI methods can be widely accepted within the clinical community. The most challenging is that some brain regions cannot be reliably sampled due to local B0 inhomogeneities, which cannot be corrected by manufacturer-implemented B0 shim system designs, a limitation that has become of greater importance as target volumes have increased. However, for full clinical acceptance there needs to be an expectation that pathologies within any brain region can be reliably sampled. A second requirement is that MRSI reconstruction, postprocessing and quantitative analysis will have to be fully automated and integrated into the clinical workflow, including robust quality control (see section 5.1). A further limitation remains relatively lengthy acquisition times, which can present challenges for clinical workflows. Additional effort is also required to ensure quantitative equivalence between scanners and to demonstrate reproducibility to support multi-center studies.

3 | DATA ACQUISITION METHODS

3.1 | Spectral acquisition methods

The selection of MR spectra acquisition methods must first consider the required spatial and spectral information requirements and relative difficulties for acquiring that information. Intermediate to long TE (>50 ms) acquisitions with volume or slice selection provide spectra that are simple to interpret and less susceptible to lipid contamination and baseline distortions, and therefore are preferred for whole-slice or whole-brain studies. Short TE sequences are generally better suited for mapping J-coupled metabolites but must account for stronger lipid and macromolecular
3.2 | Spatial acquisition methods

3.2.1 | Volume selection and lipid removal

Primary acquisition considerations for brain MRSI include reduction of signal contamination from extracranial lipids, efficient sampling of spatial k-space information to obtain the target spatial resolution and SNR within acceptable acquisition times,100 and an ability to achieve the necessary B0 homogeneity over the volume of interest (VOI). VOI excitation by PRESS, STEAM, semi-LASER or LASER101 is commonly used to avoid extracranial regions,102,103 although it has limitations of requiring positioning of the VOI and poor sampling of cortical regions. In addition, PRESS-based volume selection at higher fields leads to an unacceptably large CSDE.103

Full-slice or whole-brain excitations, as well as multi-slice MRSI with carefully tailored outer volume saturation (OVS),104 improve the ability to investigate cortical regions and have the significant advantage that knowledge about the location of pathological abnormalities is not required. However, they face additional challenges with B0 and B1 field inhomogeneity that impact spatial or spectrally selective excitation or saturation methods. Whole-brain excitation has chiefly been combined with intermediate or long echo times to mitigate the lipid contamination and baseline problems, but this limits the number of metabolites and the achievable spatial resolution. Whole-brain coverage at medium and short TE’s requires additional considerations for reduction of lipid signals. This may include OVS105,106 or lipid inversion-nulling107; however, OVS requires automated placement procedures for clinical applications,108,109 is SAR-demanding and difficult to implement for larger field of views (FOVs), and inversion-nulling incurs a loss of sensitivity, suppresses diagnostically relevant lipids, and still benefits from selective saturation of the orbits and fronto-temporal regions. Additional approaches include the use of specialized gradient coils for dephasing signals near the scalp94,110 and relying entirely on higher spatial resolutions and optimization of the spatial response function (SRF), which can be done using acquisition,79 reconstruction,111–115 and postprocessing (see section 4) methods. For large VOI acquisitions, additional considerations include that a wide range of spectral quality is inevitably obtained, placing additional demands on quality control and spectral analysis. With the use of multichannel detection and increasing resolutions the sizes of the sampled volumetric raw data can become significant (~20-100 Gb), making rapid processing on current scanners challenging.

Typical spatial resolutions for 3 T measurements of the major singlet resonances and high abundance multiplets range from 0.3 to 1.0 cc with scan times of the order of 10 to 18 minutes.91,113 With FID acquisition and constrained reconstruction methods, voxel sizes as small as 0.04 cc nominal voxel volume have been reported.116,117 At 7 T, nominal voxel sizes of 0.023 cc have been reported using a FID acquisition method and scan time of 17 minutes.118

3.2.2 | MRSI encoding

Cartesian phase-encoded MRSI, often combined with elliptical k-space distribution, is the most commonly implemented acquisition strategy; however, for higher spatial resolutions this leads to long scan times. Several k-space undersampling methods such as parallel imaging, compressed sensing or multi-band encoding119–121 have been demonstrated, with acceptable tradeoffs between data quality, scan time and spatial resolution, although with increased motion sensitivity and lipid fold-in artifacts for higher accelerations. Increased sampling efficiency can be obtained using spatial-spectral encoding (SSE) methods that combine sampling of spectral information with simultaneous sampling along one or two k-space dimensions. Initially implemented using echo planar readout (EPSI),87,122 several non-Cartesian trajectories have also been used.112,123,124 These can also be combined with k-space undersampling and multi-band encoding to further increase sampling efficiency.125–130 When implemented at higher field strengths these advanced MRSI methods can provide metabolite maps of relatively high spatial resolution within clinically acceptable acquisition times (Figure 3).131 Limitations of these methods include increased noise, gradient heating leading to frequency drifts, more complex image reconstruction, and maintaining sufficient spectral bandwidth for ≥7 T, although temporal interleaves can be used to increase spectral sweepwidth.132,133
3.3 | Implementation considerations

3.3.1 | B₁ and B₀ inhomogeneity

Both intra-voxel and global B₀ homogeneity must be considered for MRSI. Intra-voxel inhomogeneity is dominated by local magnetic susceptibility variations, with strong effects in regions such as the temporal-frontal brain due to the tissue-air interface, or near hemorrhage, calcifications, or surgical cavities. Smaller voxels or spatial oversampling can be used to increase the volume over which suitable quality spectra can be obtained, although with a tradeoff with SNR. For whole-brain studies at 3 T using spatial oversampling with a nominal voxel volume of 0.31 cc, the effect of intra-voxel inhomogeneity limits sampling to ~ 75% of the brain volume although there is considerable variability between subjects and instruments. Global B₀ inhomogeneity, i.e. over the whole FOV, results in spatially dependent frequency shifts that can degrade frequency-selective water or lipid suppression, or spectral editing. Achieving an acceptable global B₀ homogeneity becomes increasingly difficult for larger FOVs and for whole-brain studies there is a high likelihood of large unsuppressed water or extracranial lipid signals, and metabolite signals close to water or lipid may be impacted. With current B₀ shim capabilities, frequency-selective spectral editing is therefore only recommended for centrally located volumes where global B₀ homogeneity can meet the strict requirements.

Image-based B₀ shim algorithms with robust convergence, spatial constraints and reliable calibration are required, together with suitable B₀ shim strengths. Shim array coils, high order shim systems and dynamic B₀ shimming promise to provide considerably improved performance; however, these are currently not supported by MRI manufacturers.

B₁ inhomogeneity leads to spatially dependent signal losses in metabolite images. These effects can be minimized by using sequences with B₁-insensitive or low flip angle pulses, and can be corrected for by applying signal normalization (see section 5.2).

3.3.2 | Temporal instabilities

Subject motion results in image artifacts, decreased SNR and degraded SRFs. In addition, B₀ homogeneity is altered, meaning that a full correction of these effects requires real-time updates of the scanner frequency, gradients and B₀ shims. B₀ field drifts can be caused by gradient heating, particularly with the SSE methods, or following gradient-intensive MRI sequences such as diffusion or fMRI. If not corrected, these lead to degraded water suppression and cause problems with acquisition methods that include temporal interleaves or signal averaging.

3.3.3 | Reference measurements

Several of the artifacts mentioned in the previous sections can be addressed with one or more reference measurements. Motion correction can use methods described for MRI, and real-time frequency correction can be implemented using a frequency navigator or a full B₀ shim.
correction measurement, which may increase the minimum TR. Implementation of both frequency drift and motion correction during the acquisition is highly recommended for clinical studies.

Separate water MRSI or MRI measurements can be used to support several processing functions. A water MRSI reference is the most convenient method for applying B₀ and lineshape corrections and signal normalization, similar to the approach widely used for SVS, and is strongly recommended. This can be acquired as a separate measurement performed with reduced TR and reduced k-space sampling to minimize the additional scan time, while assuming the same head position, or as an interleaved measurement following the water-suppressed acquisition, which lengthens the minimum TR time.

4 | MRSI PROCESSING METHODS

4.1 | Spatial reconstruction and spectral processing

Multichannel detection is routinely used to optimize sensitivity and enable parallel-imaging acceleration methods. The processing methods used for MRSI are similar to those widely implemented for MRI, although they additionally require information on the phase of the detection sensitivity distributions.

Spectral processing for MRSI includes, as a minimum, the typical steps used in SVS, including removal of residual water, frequency/lineshape/phase correction (ECC) and Fourier transform. The spatial dimensions increase the data storage requirements, complexity and computation time relative to SVS processing, requiring 10s to 100s of minutes to process volumetric MRSI that may contain several thousand voxels.

The limitations of detection sensitivity and spatial encoding efficiency (section 3.2) may result in low spatial resolutions and signal contamination due to the broad SRF, which can notably result in the propagation of extracranial lipid signals. These effects can be reduced using spatial smoothing, at the expense of reducing the effective spatial resolution, or weighted k-space acquisition. Alternatively, image reconstruction approaches that control the SRF by incorporating a priori spatial information of the lipid regions, or signal removal based on lipid spectral patterns, can be applied.

Several noise reduction methods can be used to improve visual interpretation of metabolite images and spectra. Spatial smoothing and image interpolation can improve the appearance of metabolite images and noise reductions can be obtained from constrained spatial reconstructions. When reporting acquisition parameters, the effective spatial resolution, ie the final value after smoothing, should be stated. Spectral filtering or denoising can also be used to improve the appearance of spectra, although apodization-smoothing should be kept to small values (e.g. ≤2 Hz at 3 T) so as not to impact the accuracy of the spectral analysis. However, in this regard, the contrast in MRSI metabolite maps is commonly of greater importance than quantitation accuracy.

4.2 | Spectral fitting

Given the large number of spectra in MRSI data, fully automated spectral analysis methods are essential, for which methods based on iterative parametric modeling and incorporation of a priori metabolite spectral information have been widely used. Newer algorithmic approaches using machine learning are also anticipated to play an increasing role. Since MRSI spectra may include varying lineshapes and large lipid and unsuppressed water signals, analysis methods must include robust handling of these features. In consideration of this requirement and the typical SNR levels, the selection of simpler parametric spectral models is generally recommended; for example, while the use of a Lorentz-Gauss or variable lineshape model may be preferred for analysis of SVS data, a Gaussian lineshape may be more robust for MRSI. Similarly, inclusion of metabolite basis functions for signals with amplitudes below the detection threshold is not recommended as this will likely result in overfitting.

Spectral analysis for MRSI can benefit from application of frequency and phase corrections prior to fitting, and from inclusion of a priori spatial information, which may include removal of local outliers or enforcing spatial smoothness of parameters. Spatial constraints can be included in the penalty function used in the optimization or by modifying starting values for repeated applications of the spectral fitting.

4.3 | Scanner integration

Considerations for integrating advanced MRSI methods into the clinical workflow include efficient processing of large datasets and combination with information derived from other imaging modalities as may be needed for several processing and analysis steps. Processing for multichannel and high-resolution volumetric MRSI acquisitions has large memory requirements, which may not be available in standard instrument...
configurations, and lengthy processing times, which could interfere with subsequent imaging protocols, therefore the use of automated data transfers to dedicated servers and application-specific processing programs may be required.166,167

5 | DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

5.1 | Quality control

Quality control is essential to reduce both false positive and false negative results. The traditional quality assessment metric, Cramer-Rao lower bounds (CRLB), needs to be used with caution, as it is only valid if the spectrum is free of artifacts and accurately described by the model used for calculation. Other measures include the fitted metabolite linewidth or the SNR; however, all of these measures would incorrectly identify as poor quality a spectrum with low metabolite content within a lesion.173 For this situation, the linewidth measured from a coregistered water spectrum can be used, which would, for example, appropriately label necrotic regions that contain water but no measurable metabolite signals. Data-driven analysis tools can also be used to spatially segregate spectral features such as lipid contamination and baseline distortion,174 and machine learning approaches are also anticipated to play an increasing role.175,176

Specific criteria for quality evaluation have not been established and can depend on the application. The commonly applied criteria for SVS, of CRLB ≤20% and linewidth ≤0.1 ppm (13 Hz at 3 T) are equally applicable to MRSI studies,9 although these may be loosened for MRSI measurements of singlet resonances where data review will be based on visual review of metabolite images (e.g. to 15 Hz), or tightened to provide a more rigid criteria for quantitative measurements (e.g. to 11 Hz). The SNR can also be used (for nonlesional volumes only), and for quantitative measurements a minimum SNR (defined as NAA peak amplitude to RMS noise) of >10 for measurements of the primary singlet resonances or >20 for measurements of multiplet resonance groups is recommended. Depending on the disease, false negative results might be of more concern than false positive results (i.e. cancer detection), or vice versa, and quality criteria could be set to be more or less conservative with either type I or type II errors. If metabolite maps are to be used for clinical procedures, for example, neurosurgical or radiation treatment planning, it is recommended that voxels identified as being of inadequate quality be set to zero to avoid possible misinterpretation (but leaving the spectrum unchanged and available for visual review). However, for other applications it may be possible to retain all the image data, but to also display a "Quality Map" together with the metabolite maps, as illustrated in Figure 4.135 In either situation, visual review of spectra in critical areas is still recommended.

Motion and outer volume contamination can cause artifacts that can be difficult to recognize in individual spectra or reconstructed metabolite maps, although may be better recognized as out-of-object image artifacts in maps created by spectral integration over the lipid signals. Chemical shift displacement artifacts can change spectral patterns at the edges of a volume-selected region, although this can be mitigated by improved excitation methods,103,177 and these edge voxels should be excluded from analysis.

FIGURE 4  Example of the use of quality maps to identify regions with spectra of inadequate quality, for two slices from a volumetric EPSI acquisition at 3 T, for TE = 50 ms. Shown are (A) the postcontrast T1-weighted MRI and (B) the Cho map, which shows increased signal corresponding to the location of a glioblastoma, together with several other bright signal regions. In (C) are shown the spectral quality maps, with white regions corresponding to a spectral linewidth of ≤13 Hz and gray regions for voxels with a linewidth of >13 Hz. Poor quality voxels can be removed (D), although spatial information is more clearly conveyed when combined as an overlay image with the MRI (E)
5.2 | Signal normalization and quantification

For comparative analyses of metabolite maps between subjects or across multiple studies, a signal normalization is required. Similar to methods used for SVS, these may include taking metabolite ratios, ratios to tissue water, or using an external quantitation reference. Metabolite ratio maps conveniently account for bias-field intensity variations and CSF partial volume effects, and may take advantage of the complementary changes of individual metabolites, e.g. as occurs for Cho and NAA with a number of pathologies; however, precautions must be taken to prevent outliers caused by small denominator values. Individual metabolite maps may also be normalized by taking the ratio to an internal reference region, e.g. from NAWM. This can be done using the same metabolite, e.g. NAA/NAANAWM, or by using another metabolite as a reference, e.g. NAA/CrNAWM. These latter two approaches provide a "self-normalization" that takes into account within-subject variations, such as due to age, or changes in normal tissue associated with disease, such as brain cancer.

For semi-quantitative single metabolite maps, both a bias-field correction (to account for transmit and receive sensitivity) and signal normalization (to account for acquisition variables) must be applied, which can be done using a coregistered proton-density MRI, or a water reference MRSI. The use of a tissue water MRSI reference has advantages of convenience, ensuring exact coregistration and SRF, and can be used for several additional processing steps (section 3.3.3). The tissue volume fraction in each voxel can be obtained following segmentation of a high-resolution MRI and downsampled to the SRF of the MRSI study. For truly quantitative measurements, the reference should be corrected for water content, partial volume effects, and water and metabolite relaxation times; however, these requirements, such as relaxation correction, are impractical for clinical diagnostics and results are commonly reported as institutional units to indicate that they only apply to data obtained with the identical acquisition and processing.

5.3 | Visualization and multi-modal integration

Visual inspection of individual spectra in MRSI data can be informative and frequently necessary. While system vendors provide display functionality on the MRI systems, support for interactive viewing of spectra on the PACS system is currently unavailable, which is critical for clinical integration. Support for the DICOM MRS standard is also variable, with some systems using proprietary file formats or nonstandard formulations of DICOM SOP (service-object pair) classes that are incompatible with PACS. As an alternative, static DICOM secondary capture reports can be generated that show selected images and spectra, which can be sent to PACS.

Analysis of individual spectra is time-consuming and subjective, and given that advanced MRSI methods can provide metabolite maps of relatively good spatial resolution, an image review format offers clear benefits. While metabolite maps can be sent to PACS as DICOM images, these may not show sufficiently detailed anatomical structure and there is frequently missing spatial information due to FOV selection or inadequate spectral quality; therefore, additional co-localizing information from a coregistered MRI is needed. One approach is to use color-coded metabolite images overlaid on a high-resolution MRI (e.g. Figures 3 and 4), although with a caution to limit transparency to avoid overemphasizing the background MRI. A disadvantage of this approach is that the images are sent using a true color format, which does not allow further image contrast manipulation. The selection of color tables can also be problematic, particularly for metabolite ratio maps that have a very large dynamic range.

Several stand-alone MRSI display systems have been developed at research sites that provide features such as interactive spectral selection, display of spatially registered MRIs, image overlay functions and quality map information, and support for the DICOM spectroscopy standard provided in TARQUIN, SIVIC and jMRUI. The extension of these features into commercial medical image display packages is strongly encouraged, together with further support for the DICOM MRS standard. The development of standardized signal normalization and display methods is also recommended to increase clinical acceptance.

5.4 | Analysis methods

The combined spatial and multiparametric information of MRSI presents opportunities for novel quantitative analyses. Spatial averaging over anatomically defined regions of interest (ROIs) can be applied, either using the individual voxel fit results or by averaging the spectra and fitting that result. Voxels not meeting the quality evaluation criteria should be excluded, and for spectral summation the phase and frequency correction must be performed prior to averaging. Both methods can be extended to incorporate information on the tissue volume fraction in each voxel to separate multiple contributions such as gray and white matter. Other examples include measurements over specific neural tracts, smaller tissue regions with volume contributions from neighboring regions, and different tumor regions. ROIs can also be automatically defined using atlas registration methods, which greatly benefit from having fully 3D information to support nonlinear registration.
The image format of MRSI naturally supports the use of voxel-based analysis (VBA) methods, which may be preferred when prior localization information is not available. An alternative to a simple calculation of a metabolite ratio map is to detect outlying values relative to a regression line generated for two metabolites, using values selected from normal tissue regions in the same subject. For example, a Cho and NAA index (CNI) has been used to highlight tumor regions (Figure 5A). Comparisons between datasets from different subjects or subject groups can be performed following nonlinear spatial transform to register multiple images to a standard frame of reference where statistical tests can be carried out. This can be done for single subject data by comparing metabolite maps against mean values from a control group using a z-score analysis. VBA methods account for normal regional variations of metabolite concentrations and any spatial variability in the reproducibility of the data; however, additional steps are needed to account for the relative tissue volume contributions, and control values must be derived from a subject group that matches known covariates, notably age, while sex and body weight may also be considered. The display of z-score maps greatly facilitates interpretation by directly identifying regions that are statistically different from control values (Figure 5B); however, the need for standardized acquisition methods and availability of the normative reference data make this approach difficult to translate to routine clinical studies.

The multiparametric nature of MRSI allows for multiple metabolite maps to be analyzed together, using techniques for pattern recognition analysis, as has been investigated for SVS. This has primarily been done as additional image contrasts to a MRI-based tissue classification, to provide metabolic information to improve tissue classification for brain tumors. Results indicate considerable potential for these analysis approaches; however, these methods have not been widely implemented and the requirement for consistency of imaging protocols may mean that these would be difficult to translate to clinical practice.

6 | RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 | Acquisition methods: application-dependent selection

As described in section 3, MRSI acquisition methods offer multiple tradeoffs in terms of ease of use, acquisition times, spatial coverage and achievable spectral information. In the selection of which technique should be used, the primary considerations are the metabolic information that is needed, over what volume, and at what spatial resolution that information can be reliably obtained. The target location will also impact B0, B1 and lipid contamination considerations; for example, large volume excitations would be suitable for measurements in the occipital and parietal lobes; studies of temporal lobes, where B0 inhomogeneity is more of concern, may benefit from slice-selective excitation; and studies of the hippocampi, subcortical gray matter, or brain stem would be better sampled with 3D VOI localization methods. Considerations for

**FIGURE 5** (A) Example volume-selected 3 T MRSI result for a glioblastoma showing the contrast-T1 MRI, the Choline-to-NAA Index (CNI) map, and the NAA and Cho metabolite maps. The selected volume is indicated by the yellow rectangle. The CNI map, shown as a color overlay, identifies all voxels with significant differences of the ratio of NAA and Cho. The color bar represents the numerical values of the CNI map. (B) Example Z-score maps for NAA, Cho and Cho/NAA at a time point of 1.7 months following a traumatic brain injury of moderate severity (Glasgow Coma Score 13). The color overlays represent the significance of the difference for the single subject values relative to mean values from an age-matched control group of 25 subjects, with decreased value for NAA and increased values for Cho and Cho/NAA. Adapted from Maudsley et al.
acquisition variables that impact data acquisition time depend primarily on the subject group, with, for example, studies of pediatrics, advanced brain cancer, or neurodegenerative diseases benefiting from the shortest possible acquisitions (<10 minutes), whereas studies of relatively cooperative patients, as may be the case for subjects with epilepsy or migraine, may tolerate a longer examination (e.g. 12 to 20 minutes). Other considerations include available expertise, ranging from fully vendor-supported implementations that can be routinely implemented by MRI technologists to methods that require specialized expertise to ensure quality at the time of the acquisition and in the data analysis and interpretation.

Listed in Table 1 are summarized broad categories of metabolite targets, brain volumes and field strengths that correspond to different acquisition approaches, together with examples of potential clinical applications. These range from acquisition of only the primary singlet resonances, which can be reliably done at longer TEs (e.g. >50 ms), to obtaining full spectral information using short TEs with analyses of the strongly overlapping multiplet resonance patterns, and finally to the case of using specialized acquisition methods to resolve overlapping spectral compounds such as for GABA and GSH. In general, the tradeoffs of acquisition time, spatial resolution and B0 inhomogeneity mean that smaller VOIs are more suitable if detailed spectral information is to be obtained. Therefore, the singlet resonances can be readily mapped using longer TE 3D or multislice MRSI over large brain volumes, without the use of spatial volume selection, whereas applications that have stricter requirements for B0 homogeneity and robust water and subcutaneous lipid suppression, are currently best suited to volume-selected acquisitions. This last recommendation is made with consideration of the current shimming hardware and software provided by scanner manufacturers; however, following future improvements of the shim hardware/software systems, large brain volumes should also be possible for mapping of metabolites such as GABA and GSH.

The feasibility of implementing these MRSI acquisition approaches, for each of these broad categories of metabolite target groups, are summarized in Figure 6, which range from being widely available and fully integrated into available MRI systems, to requiring specialized pulse sequences and experienced personnel.

### 6.2 Recommendations for minimum standards

#### 6.2.1 Acquisition

**B0 shimming**

The biggest limiting factor and critical requirement for MRSI quality and reliability is excellent B0 shimming. A frequency dispersion of a maximum of 20 Hz across the entire brain at 3 T should be achievable. Automated and robust B0 shim algorithms are required\(^{138}\) and further development of advanced shim hardware is strongly recommended (section 3.3.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target metabolites</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Field strength</th>
<th>Example clinical applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NAA, Cr, Cho       | Long TE, large FOV 3D volume | 1.5 and 3 T | - Brain tumor treatment planning, biopsy guidance  
|                    |         |                | - Neocortical epilepsy localization  
|                    |         |                | - Traumatic brain injury |
| NAA, Cr, Cho, Lac  | Long TE, 3D volume with suppression of subcutaneous lipids | 1.5 and 3 T | - Mitochondrial disorders  
|                    |         |                | - Chronic fatigue  
|                    |         |                | - Brain tumor evaluation |
| NAA, Cr, Cho, Glu, Gin, ml, Lac | Short TE, volume-selected 2D or 3D | All | - Psychiatric disorders  
|                    |         |                | - Neurodegenerative diseases  
|                    |         |                | - Brain tumor grading  
|                    |         |                | - Abscess vs. primary tumor and necrosis |
| GABA, GSH, 2HG, etc. | Spectral editing with volume-selected 2D | 3 and 7 T | - Psychiatric disorders  
|                    |         |                | - Studies on aging  
|                    |         |                | - Studies on pain  
|                    |         |                | - Brain tumor diagnosis  
|                    |         |                | - Brain tumor treatment planning (e.g. 2HG) |
| NAA, Cr, Cho, Glu, ml, Gln*, NAAG*, GSH*, GABA* | FID, 2D (multi) slice or 3D volume with high spatial resolution | 3, 7 and 9.4 T | - MS  
|                    |         |                | - Epilepsy  
|                    |         |                | - Neurodegenerative diseases  
|                    |         |                | - Psychiatric disorders |
Encoding and acceleration methods

Cartesian encoding methods will continue to be used for 2D or multi-slice studies but should include standard k-space undersampling options. The implementation of multi-slice and 3D SSE methods is strongly encouraged.

Motion

Incorporation of prospective motion and frequency drift correction into acquisition sequences is also highly recommended, with automatic re-shimming in case of substantial motion. Given the typical acquisition times of MRSI sequences, additional attention should be given to restricting head motion and to patient comfort.

Spatial selection

To support multiple scan protocols, several MRSI implementations are required and should include volume-selection and slice- and volume-selective localization methods, using both FID and spin-echo excitation. For volume selection the use of adiabatic excitation (e.g. semi-LASER and LASER) is recommended for ≥3 T to minimize intensity variations across the FOV and chemical shift displacement. For studies ≥7 T, STEAM volume selection or slice-selected FID excitation is preferred to reduce B1 requirements and CSDE.

Water suppression

Water suppression schemes such as VAPOR or WET that are robust against variations in transmit B1 field intensity and T1 relaxation times are recommended.

Extracranial lipid signals

Multiple approaches for reducing contamination from extracranial lipids should be available, including use of higher spatial resolutions and post-processing methods. For outer volume suppression, automatic placement of multiple bands and broadband saturation pulses should be implemented.

Scanner performance

MRI systems can vary in terms of eddy currents, B0 shimming and temporal B0 field stability, and regular maintenance and documentation of these performance parameters is recommended.

RF performance

A minimum of 24 μT transmit field strength at 3 T is recommended for adequate performance of spectroscopy localization pulses, to limit chemical shift displacement artefacts, and to yield short TEs and adiabaticity in semi-LASER. For studies ≥7 T, multichannel transmit is recommended and the use of spatially selective RF pulses (ie high flip angle refocusing) should be avoided to minimize CSDEs and the effects of B1 inhomogeneities.
6.2.2 | Processing and analysis

Clinical review
Comprehensive methods for review of MRSI results on clinical PACS systems are currently not available and further commercial support for these systems is essential for clinical acceptance. Current options include transfer of metabolite maps or color-coded metabolite overlay images using standard DICOM protocols, and formation of technologist-generated static reports showing sample spectra and metabolite maps.

Image formation
A primary benefit of advanced MRSI methods is that metabolite maps can be generated with a high enough spatial resolution that image review becomes the preferred analysis method. Relative metabolite concentrations should be derived by spectral fitting and all processing steps should be fully automated.

Individual metabolite maps should be corrected for bias-field intensity variations and signal-normalized. Current practice does not indicate a recommended normalization method and the choice is application-dependent, although preferred options include taking metabolite ratios to Cr, normalization by a reference brain region (e.g. mean value in NAWM), or as a ratio to a tissue water reference for pathologies where no change of water content may be anticipated.

Data processing
Standard spectral preprocessing methods should be used and relative metabolite concentrations should be derived by spectral fitting. Attention should be paid to maintaining performance for fitting of spectra with large baseline variations and low SNR, particularly for acquisitions involving larger FOVs. For whole-slice or whole-brain acquisitions, the use of postprocessing or specialized image reconstruction methods for reduction of extracranial lipid contamination is strongly recommended.

Quality control
Regions of poor spectral quality must be identified to avoid misinterpretation. Given the large data sizes of advanced MRSI methods, automated methods for quality control are essential; however, recognition of image artifacts can be difficult and visual review of selected voxels, particularly if indicating potential pathologies, is encouraged. It is recommended that voxels not meeting the quality criteria be set to zero value in the metabolite maps prior to clinical review, although for experienced users with access to appropriate display methods it may be preferable to keep the original metabolite images and display these together with quality maps.

Data analysis
Calculation of both metabolite ratio and individual metabolite maps is recommended, with the data used for image review being application-dependent.

For studies of brain tumors, the use of image analysis methods such as CNI maps or threshold detection of Cho/NAA maps can be used. Further development and evaluation of statistical image analysis methods for specific clinical applications are recommended.

Scanner integration
For clinical acceptance, it is essential that metabolite maps be made available shortly after acquisition. However, the combination of large data sizes and the algorithmic requirements for many image reconstruction and spectral analysis steps mean that on-scanner reconstruction for advanced MRSI methods may, in the short term, be difficult to support by the available scanner hardware, therefore dedicated computation servers may be required. Given that innovative sampling and reconstruction methods continue to be investigated, improved support for integrating custom-built reconstruction pipelines into clinical workflows needs to be provided by the system manufacturers.

Minimum MRSI display requirements must include full 3D image review capabilities with spatial coregistration with other image series, and interactive selection and display of spectra. Integration of MRSI display with interactive spectral selection into PACS systems is identified as an area where further development will be required.

7 | FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Several ongoing technological developments will benefit future implementations of advanced MRSI methods. Continued improvements of detection sensitivity, including optimization of ultrahigh field MRI systems and improved $B_0$ detection and transmit array technologies, will further extend the trend to detection of an increasing number of metabolites (Figure 7) and higher spatial resolutions. This in turn leads to decreased intra-voxel $B_0$ inhomogeneity and a corresponding increase of the volume of the brain that can be sampled. The use of advanced shimming methods (section 3.3.1) promises to provide improved $B_0$ homogeneity, which together with motion correction will contribute to both greater
reliability and spatial coverage. Several studies have already demonstrated that the use of higher spatial resolution acquisitions and improved reconstruction and postprocessing methods will additionally benefit sampling of cortical surface regions. Further developments of under-sampled image encoding and reconstruction strategies will increase the efficiency of MRSI data collection. With current performance providing voxel dimensions of the order of ~ 3 mm isotropic at >7 T for multi-slice studies (Figure 7), when combined with high-performance volumetric spatial sampling methods, it is anticipated that comparable performance can be obtained within acquisition times from 5 to 15 minutes, depending on the FOV and resolution.

With the development of more robust $B_0$ shimming methods and MRSI methods that can sample a wide brain volume, the scan prescription for MRSI studies will become equivalent to any MRI method, making the acquisition methods feasible to implement in a routine clinical setting. There are also no technical barriers to providing rapid metabolite image reconstruction to provide reconstructed metabolite maps shortly after the end of the data acquisition, as is done for other clinical MRI protocols. It is anticipated that once vendor implementations of MRSI provide acquisition methods that are as easy to use as any MRI sequence, together with a full integration of the processing, then MRSI will play a greater role in clinical studies. One remaining barrier requirement will be the introduction of standardized approaches for image analysis and quality evaluation. These requirements include the development of automated and robust approaches to control for spectral quality and standardization of acquisition protocols that are matched with normal reference values to enable automated image analysis.

8 | CONCLUSION

Historically, variability in data quality, concerns with reproducibility, limitations of detection sensitivity, inefficient sampling of spatial and spectral dimensions, and limitations of review and analysis software, have held back the dissemination of MRSI. As major technological advances of MRI technologies were introduced, such as multichannel detection and transmission and higher magnetic field strengths, these have provided improvements in MRSI data quality that in turn have led to an increasing interest in clinical applications. These ongoing hardware improvements are being combined with novel spatial-spectral sampling and processing methods that provide the level of performance needed to incorporate MRSI into standardized imaging protocols. Further developments are still needed to fully integrate advanced MRSI methods into clinical studies, including robust and automated acquisition methods, efficient processing of the large 4D datasets, and integration with PACS; however, these have largely been implemented in the research setting and there are no technical barriers to these developments. Once implemented, MRSI will become a robust image-based modality suitable for routine use. The clinical success of MRI has benefited from the high degree of automation that has been achieved and immediate presentation of the images for interpretation. Similarly, once MRSI is fully integrated as an “imaging” method it will become more widely used for routine clinical studies.

As with MRI sequences, variants of MRSI implementations are anticipated to address differing clinical requirements, with the available selection not being restricted by instrumentation performance. For this reason, this report has recommended a range of MRSI implementations that reflect the multiple tradeoffs between information content and ease of implementation. In part, these recommendations rely on continued commitment from manufacturers in implementing newer hardware and software that have been demonstrated to improve data quality.

There is ample literature demonstrating the clinical utility of MRSI, which also supports the need to translate advanced MRSI technologies into commercial products. While most of these reports of clinical value have to date been developed by the research community, a more
widespread distribution of advanced MRSI technologies is now needed to support future multisite studies that will provide stronger evidence of clinical efficacy.
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